

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/993,241	11/13/2001	Emil D. Kakkis	00800.0051.CNUS03	8479
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
NABEELA R	MC MILLIAN, PH.I	RAO, MANJUNATH N		
MARSHAL, GERSTEIN AND BORUN 233 SOUTH WACKER, 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606-6357	10 WER	1652	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/993,241	KAKKIS, EMIL D.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required in inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON to avoid abandonment of this application in the second state of the second	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. oplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOI	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents of the control of the period for reply exponents of the control of the period for reply exponents of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the control of the contro	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the YWAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under eriod of extension and the corresponding the of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months after the	OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ag amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)	lant's Brief must be filed within t 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	the period set forth in ssal of the appeal.
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
(L) They raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applica	tion in better form for appeal by	
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	to a server timely filed amendment
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v	would be allowable if submitted	
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ requestion in condition for allowance because	se. <u>see attacheu</u> .	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere	d because it is not directed SOI	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	dment(s) a)□ will not be entere	ed or b)⊡ will be entered and an ed below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-60</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐] approved or b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
10. Other:		
		Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652

Application/Control Number: 09/993,241

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

Claims 14-60 are now currently pending in this application.

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 3-25-04 has been considered and ENTERED, however, the claims are not still in condition for allowance for the following reasons.

Applicant has not indicated the support for the "99.9%" language in claim 60. A perusal of the specification did not indicate that applicant has support for the above claim language.

Therefore, Examiner urges applicant to cancel claim 60.

Examiner continues to maintain the Double patenting rejection as indicated in the previous Office action until such time that applicant files a Terminal Disclaimer for reasons of record.

Conclusion

None of the claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0939. The Examiner can normally be reached on 6.30 a.m. to 3.00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

Art Unit: 1652

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Manjunath N. Rao

April 5, 2004